

Name: _____ Hour: _____ Date: _____

Does the negative slope provide convincing evidence that sitting closer causes higher achievement, or is it plausible that the association is purely by chance because of random assignment?

In order to answer this question, we need to know more about “purely by chance because of random assignment”. If we assume that seat location has NO effect on Exam Score, then we could just randomly assign all 30 Exam Scores to each of the seat locations. We will do this by writing down each of the 30 Exam Scores onto an index card, shuffle the index cards, and then randomly assign them to seat locations.

In pairs, shuffle up the note cards and randomly assign 6 students into each of the 5 rows. Record the results:

Row 1: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 2: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 3: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 4: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 5: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____ Now find the slope of the LSRL: _____

Repeat this process 2 more times for a total of 3 different samples. Record the results.

Row 1: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 2: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 3: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 4: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 5: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____ Now find the slope of the LSRL: _____

Row 1: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 2: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 3: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 4: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____

Row 5: _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, _____ Now find the slope of the LSRL: _____

You have now calculated three different possible values for the slope based on random assignment. Take these 3 values to the dotplot on the whiteboard in the front of the room. When everyone in class has recorded their data, copy the dotplot below:

Name: _____ Hour: _____ Date: _____

Sampling Distribution of b

Important ideas:

Check Your Understanding

You may have heard that your nose and ears grow through your whole life. While it is true that your nose and ears get bigger throughout life, its not because they grow, but because of gravity. The cartilage in your nose and ears break down as we age and the “growth” people observe is the result of drooping. To quantify the expansion of ears over time, a random sample of 30 adults were selected. For each adult, their age (in years) was recorded and their ear height (cm) was measured. Below is the regression output. Is there evidence of a positive linear relationship between age and ear height? Assume the conditions for inference are met.

Regression Analysis: Age versus Ear Height

| Predictor | Coef | SE Coef | T | P |
|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| Constant | 2.8871 | 0.3145 | 9.1800 | 0.0000 |
| Age | 0.0021 | 0.0059 | 0.3559 | 0.7246 |

$s = 0.3613$ $R\text{-Sq} = 0.825$ $R\text{-Sq}(\text{adj}) = 0.918$

- What is the estimate for α ? Interpret this value.
- What is the estimate for β ? Interpret this value.
- What is the estimate for σ ? Interpret this value.
- Give the standard error of the slope SE_b . Interpret this value.